

BPS considerations & case studies

Brendan Hall



Passed BPS

Completed rules & regulations:

- Washington, DC
- Washington Phase I
- St. Louis, MO
- Denver, CO
- New York, NY
- Boston, MA Phase I+II

Rulemaking still in progress:

- Colorado
- Washington Phase II+
- Boston, MA Phase III
- Montgomery County, MD
- Maryland
- Chula Vista, CA



© Copyright 2022 Institute for Market Transformation. Updated 4/2022.



The BPS Pipeline

Coalition Participants and Their Commitments



- National BPS Coalition participants – <u>nationalbpscoalition.org</u>
- "...committed to inclusively design and implement equitable building performance standards and complementary programs and policies, working to advance legislation and/or regulation, with a goal of adoption by Earth Day, 2024."





Stakeholder Engagement (& Throughout) Community Set Science-Based GHG Inventory Red'x Goal

GHG

Benchmarking & Disclosure Mandate

Achievability Analysis

Set BPS By Property Type

Round Out **BPS Policy** Package

Launch!



Differences among BPS policies enacted to date





EPA ENERGY STAR

- ENERGY STAR is a voluntary program at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency that helps businesses and individuals save money and protect our climate through superior energy efficiency
 - ENERGY STAR means energy efficient and supportive of clean energy







ENERGY STAR and BPS

- Providing and enhancing tools for implementation
 - See the logos!
- Developing policy recommendations and guidance based on building owner realities
 - One example: EPA Benchmarking and Building Performance Standards Policy Toolkit
- Engaging and convening policymakers, influencers, and building owners
- Ensure that state and local benchmarking and improvement initiatives result in efficient decarbonization based on building owner realities

• One example: Reviewing draft laws and regulations









Whole-Building Energy Data

- One related EPA priority is driving the coverage, data quality, and customer ease-of-use of utility-provided wholebuilding energy data
- Without access to this data, multitenant buildings like offices and multifamily housing aren't practically able to benchmark their energy use



www.energystar.gov/utilitydata



Metric recommendations

- To reduce energy use:
 - Site Energy Use Intensity (EUI)
- [Optionally, in combination with the above] To reduce onsite greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) and encourage electrification:
 - Direct GHG emissions <u>OR</u>
 - Adopt a fossil fuel phaseout schedule
- EPA recommends against the use of net energy metrics in BPS





EPA Recommended Metrics and Normalization Methods for Use in State and Local Building Performance Standards

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | May 2022



EPA recommended metrics and normalization methods for BPS



Metrics: St. Louis case study

- Set standards at 35th percentile of weather normalized (WN) site EUI for each property type
 - Using 2018 reported benchmarking data, cleaned
 - Where a property type had less than 10 local buildings,
 STL used the national 35th percentile values for it
- Four compliance paths
 - 1. Be at or below WN site EUI standard
 - 2. Extra compliance: 20% or 50% reduction plus the above
 - 3. For cycles 1 & 2, buildings can comply by achieving a 50% reduction over their baseline towards the standard
 - 4. Custom alternative compliance pathway*



Source: St. Louis <u>BEPS Compliance Pathways Fact Sheet</u>

"Buildings and their owners that fail to comply will face violations in the forms of fines and/or loss of occupancy permits for future tenants."



Metrics: Alternatives

DC (combination)

NYC (total GHGi)

- Hit BEPS by property type
 - 1-100 ENERGY STAR Score
 - Source EUI
- If don't meet BEPS, enter compliance cycle
 - 20% reduction in site EUI
 - Prescriptive compliance path
 - Hit standard
 - Alternative compliance path

 Hit Direct GHGi by property type, or pay penalty

WA state (Site EUI)

• Hit Site EUI target by property type for climate zone and operating hours range



Normalization recommendations

- Set targets by property type using an intensity metric;
- Assess whether additional normalization is needed;
- If needed, use either the binning method or ENERGY STAR Score method

OPERATING HOURS PER WEEK	2030 TARGET SITE EUI (KBTU/FT ²)
Less than 50	32 kBtu/ft ²
50 – 70	46 kBtu/ft ²
71 – 100	52 kBtu/ft ²
101 or more	60 kBtu/ft ²



Normalization: Denver case study

- The building owner may apply to adjust the building's 2030 energy performance target for a variety of reasons:
 - Significant variations in operations or inherent characteristics of the building itself
 - Previous benchmarking submission were incorrect
 - Building alterations

B.1 OPERATING HOURS

TABLE 14: OFFICE

Operating Hours	2030 EUI Target
0-60	48.3 (normal target)
61-80	51.4
81-100	57.3
101+	60.4

TABLE 15: RETAIL STORE

Operating Hours	2030 EUI Target
0-80	43.5 (normal target)
81-95	48.0
96-105	55.9
105+	58.6

TABLE 16: WORSHIP FACILITY

Operating Hours	2030 EUI Target
0-50	42.1 (normal target)
51-60	44.1
61-90	51.2
91+	56.7

TABLE 17: NON-REFRIGERATED WAREHOUSE

Operating Hours	2030 EUI Target
0-65	27.2 (normal target)
66-75	27.9
76-95	29.7
95+	30.9

TABLE 18: REFRIGERATED WAREHOUSE

Operating Hours	2030 EUI Target
0-65	63.9 (normal target)
66-75	64.4
76-95	65.6
95+	66.4

TABLE 19: SUPERMARKET/GROCERY STORE

Operating Hours	2030 EUI Target
0-100	164.4 (normal target)
101-120	170.4
121-145	183.3
145+	190.2



Wrap-up

- Contact us! <u>statelocal@energystar.gov</u>
 - Quarterly Building Performance Initiative Network calls jurisdictions and firms that represent them
 - Quarterly BPS 'influencer organizations' calls organizations & firms actively involved in BPS design and implementation
 - One on one planning/strategy calls jurisdictions
- Sign-up for our twice-yearly <u>building performance policy briefs newsletter</u> anyone!

