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Our mission:
To safely and reliably deliver clean energy to our customers and communities every single day while building the energy network of tomorrow

- Service area population of ~16,000,000
- ~110,000 miles of distribution grid
- ~1,000,000 distribution transformers
A Little Review . . . Why “Zero Net Energy”?


Chief requirement AB 32—GHG emissions 20% below 1990 levels by 2020

SB 32: An extension and expansion of the AB 32 legislation, 2016

Chief requirement SB 32: GHG emissions 40% below 1990 levels by 2030

Long term goal:

GHG emissions 80% below 1990 levels by 2050

What Does a Utility Want?

- To be responsive to policy and regulatory initiatives and to be perceived as such by the public—GHG reduction is front and center
- To have satisfied customers who believe they receive good value for what they pay for
- Financial perspective: To collect sufficient revenue to “run the business” and meet investor earning expectations—the basic regulatory compact
- Operational Perspective: To have tools, equipment and processes to “run the grid” effectively

Utility Earnings and Ratemaking 101...

In CA (and many states), utilities do not earn money on commodity throughput: they earn an allowed, adjudicated rate of return on “plant” (capital) investment

Ratemaking starts with a revenue requirement (RR) for the entire enterprise which is filed with the regulators and adjudicated

RR = Capital Investment + Expenses + Earnings (rate of return)
- The cost to maintain and build out the system
- Personnel costs, equipment, taxes, purchased energy

Electric rate = RR / Forecasted Sales
- Of course, there are dozens of rate schedules. Rate schedules simpler for smaller customers; more complex for larger
- The sum total of revenue from all of the rate schedules and their component parts must be designed to equal the RR
- Generally, electricity sales are highly predictable compared to most other commodities—a stabilizing factor.
What Are Some Key Implications of Ratemaking?

Core idea: collect cost of service fairly, plus “reasonable” rate of return

Balancing accounts: RR = $1.00 billion, collect $1.02? Refund the $0.02 next cycle; same process if under-collected.

Rates are designed to minimize grid costs (peak hour charges, demand charges, inverted blocks, etc.) by holding down capital and expense charges

“Subsidies,” “discounts,” to any given customer or segment are an undercollection and must be made up by some other customer group or segment because the adjudicated, approved RR does not change. Sometimes called “cost shifting”

• Low income
• Economic development
• Special technical incentive rates (e.g., NEM rates)

What Does This Mean for ZNE?

(Hold that thought!)
A Tale of 2 Buildings . . . Both ZNE

Solar PV

Energy Efficiency, Demand Response, then Solar PV

Hour of the Day

Demand (MW)
A Tale of 2 Buildings

Which is the easier, less costly building to serve for a utility?
• Infrastructure build-out
• Purchased or generated power

For the customer: which building would have the lower bill?
Questions to consider . . .

• Is grid connectivity essential to take ZNE “to scale”?
• If we could instantly (tomorrow) make half of all buildings ZNE, would it reduce utility grid costs?
• At scale, what is a fair and reasonable method of (1) determining and calculating, and (2) collecting utility costs to serve ZNE customers?
• Is “Net Positive” always “good”? Is it ever “good”?
• Is incremental energy savings ever “bad”?
• Who should pay for microgrids?
• How should we handle storage?
• If we start abandoning natural gas, how do we handle infrastructure cost recovery?

Note degree of change in just five years
Dynamic quality of change—we would expect change to continue
• In 2012, it looks fine to charge your car at night
• In 2016, not so much!
• Under NEM, no incentive to charge your car in the afternoon
As a practical matter, ZNE only works with a robust, well-functioning grid; utilities need to recover their costs

Competing forces:
  ▪ Grid investment requirements (upward cost pressure)
  ▪ Lower throughput (downward revenue pressure)

Grid-friendly buildings require lower grid investments than non-friendly buildings

Saving energy will always be valuable; BTM renewables may reach a point where their value is diminished; flexibility is key

Utility economics 101: It starts with a Revenue Requirement

Rates = [(authorized grid investment) + (expense) + (earnings)] / (forecasted kWh sales)
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